## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In accordance w	Defendant. ith the Ber Reform Act, 18 U.S.	.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on
Defendant was present, t	epresented by his attorney	. The United States was represented by
	J. Fazide	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS		
// The defenda	int is charged with an offense de	scribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
convicted of a prior offer	ise described in 18 U.S.C. § 314	2(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
offense, and a period of n	ot more than five (5) years has e	elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from
imprisonment, whichever		
This establishes	a rebuttable presumption that no	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
safety of any other person	and the community.	
' / There is prob	pable cause based upon (the indi	ictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
defendant has committed	an offense	•
A for	which a maximum term of impri	somment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
seq., § 95	il et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	
B. und	er 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a fi	rearm during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a	rebuttable presumption that no	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defenda	nt as required and the safety of t	the community.
		— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	on applies.	
No presumpti		
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P	resumptions, If Applicable	exidence to rebut the applicable programmical and he therefore
No presumpti PART II. REBUTTAL OF P // The defendan	resumptions, If Applicable	y evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P // The defendan will be ordered detained.	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE thas not come forward with any	
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P // The defendan will be ordered detained.	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE thas not come forward with any	y evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore ce to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  / / The defendan  will be ordered detained.  / / The defendan	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence	ce to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P // The defendan will be ordered detained. // The defendan Thus, the burden of	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United	ce to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:  I States.
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  / The defendan will be ordered detained. / The defendan Thus, the burden of PART III. PROOF (WHERE	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR	I States.
No presumpti PART II. REBUITAL OF P  / The defendan will be ordered detained. / The defendan  Thus, the burden of PART III. PROOF (WHERE The United St	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderar	I States.  I INAPPLICABLE)  noce of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  / The defendan  will be ordered detained.  / The defendan  Thus, the burden of  PART III. PROOF (WHERE  The United St  will reasonably assure the	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderar appearance of the defendant as r	I States.  I Napplicable presumption[s] to wit:  Inapplicable)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  / The defendan  will be ordered detained.  / The defendan  Thus, the burden of  PART III. PROOF (WHERE  A The United St  will reasonably assure the se  / The United St	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderar appearance of the defendant as r ates has proved by clear and con	d States.  R INAPPLICABLE)  noce of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  nivincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  // The defendan  will be ordered detained.  // The defendan  Thus, the burden of PART III. PROOF (WHERE  The United St  will reasonably assure the st  will reasonably assure the st	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderate appearance of the defendant as r ates has proved by clear and contafety of any other person and th	I States.  I Inapplicable presumption[s] to wit:  I States.  I Inapplicable)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  Invincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  // The defendan  will be ordered detained.  // The defendan  Thus, the burden of PART III. PROOF (WHERE  The United St. Will reasonably assure the st. Will reasonably assure the st. PART IV. WRITTEN FINDS	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderal appearance of the defendant as r ates has proved by clear and con tafety of any other person and th NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT	d States.  R INAPPLICABLE)  noce of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  nivincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions recommunity.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  // The defendan  will be ordered detained.  // The defendan  Thus, the burden of  PART III. PROOF (WHERE  The United St  will reasonably assure the se  // The United St  will reasonably assure the se  PART IV. WRITTEN FINDS  The Court has	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderal appearance of the defendant as r ates has proved by clear and con tafety of any other person and th NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT taken into account the factors se	I States.  I Inapplicable presumption[s] to wit:  I States.  I Inapplicable)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  Invincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  // The defendan will be ordered detained.  // The defendan  Thus, the burden of PART III. PROOF (WHERE A The United St will reasonably assure the se  // The United St will reasonably assure the se  PART IV. WRITTEN FINDS  The Court has	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderal appearance of the defendant as r ates has proved by clear and con tafety of any other person and th NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT	I States.  I INAPPLICABLE)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  Invincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  // The defendan  will be ordered detained.  // The defendan  Thus, the burden of  PART III. PROOF (WHERE  The United St  will reasonably assure the se  // The United St  will reasonably assure the se  PART IV. WRITTEN FINDS  The Court has	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderal appearance of the defendant as r ates has proved by clear and con tafety of any other person and th NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT taken into account the factors se	d States.  R INAPPLICABLE)  noce of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  nivincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions recommunity.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  / The defendan  will be ordered detained.  / The defendan  Thus, the burden of the United St  will reasonably assure the st  / The United St  will reasonably assure the st  PART IV. WRITTEN FINDS  The Court has at hearing and finds as follows  3/32(0. He is a second or the second or th	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderate appearance of the defendant as re ates has proved by clear and contacted of any other person and the NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT taken into account the factors so was: The defendant	I States.  I INAPPLICABLE)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  Invincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  // The defendan  will be ordered detained.  // The defendan  Thus, the burden of the United St  will reasonably assure the st  // The United St  will reasonably assure the st  PART IV. WRITTEN FINDS  The Court has at hearing and finds as follows  132(0. He is the standard of th	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderal appearance of the defendant as re ates has proved by clear and contafety of any other person and the NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT taken into account the factors see ows: The defendant	d States.  A INAPPLICABLE)  noce of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  avincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted  A Charles Halls A Charles J. S.
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  // The defendan  will be ordered detained.  // The defendan  Thus, the burden of PART III. PROOF (WHERE  // The United St  will reasonably assure the se  // The United St  will reasonably assure the se  PART IV. WRITTEN FINDS  // The Court has  at hearing and finds as follows  3/32(0. He is a second of the second	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderate appearance of the defendant as re ates has proved by clear and contacted of any other person and the NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT taken into account the factors so was: The defendant	I States.  I INAPPLICABLE)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  Invincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  Let out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted that the condition of conditions are community.
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  // The defendan  will be ordered detained.  // The defendan  Thus, the burden of PART III. PROOF (WHERE  // The United St  will reasonably assure the se  // The United St  will reasonably assure the se  PART IV. WRITTEN FINDS  // The Court has  at hearing and finds as follows  3/32(0. He is a second of the second	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderar appearance of the defendant as r ates has proved by clear and con tafety of any other person and th NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT taken into account the factors se ows: The defendant	I States.  I INAPPLICABLE)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  Invincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  Let out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted that the condition of conditions are community.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  Let out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted that the condition of conditions are community.
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  // The defendan  will be ordered detained.  // The defendan  Thus, the burden of PART III. PROOF (WHERE  // The United St  will reasonably assure the se  // The United St  will reasonably assure the se  PART IV. WRITTEN FINDS  // The Court has  at hearing and finds as follows    1326. He is purely.	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United t PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderar appearance of the defendant as r ates has proved by clear and con tafety of any other person and th NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT taken into account the factors se ows: The defendant	I States.  I INAPPLICABLE)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  Invincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  Let out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted that the condition of conditions are community.
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  / The defendan  will be ordered detained.  / The defendan  Thus, the burden of the United St  will reasonably assure the st  will reasonably assure the st  PART IV. WRITTEN FINDS  The Court has at hearing and finds as following the st  A Salar Court for the	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United to PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderan appearance of the defendant as r ates has proved by clear and con affety of any other person and th NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT taken into account the factors se ows: The defendant  And Company of the defendant	States.  A INAPPLICABLE)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  Evincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  Set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the condition of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the inf
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  / The defendan  will be ordered detained.  / The defendan  Thus, the burden of PART III. PROOF (WHERE  The United St  will reasonably assure the se  / The United St  will reasonably assure the se  PART IV. WRITTEN FINDE  The Court has at hearing and finds as following the se  I would be seen the se  A second of the second of t	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United to PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderant appearance of the defendant as re ates has proved by clear and contacted of any other person and the NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT taken into account the factors see the state of the defendant of the company of	States.  A INAPPLICABLE)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  Evincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  Set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the condition of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the inf
PART II. REBUTTAL OF P  / The defendan  will be ordered detained.  / The defendan  Thus, the burden of PART III. PROOF (WHERE  / The United St  will reasonably assure the st  // The United St  will reasonably assure the st  at hearing and finds as following the st  at hearing and finds as following the st  Allowed th	RESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE t has not come forward with any t has come forward with evidence of proof shifts back to the United to PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR ates has proved to a preponderate appearance of the defendant as re ates has proved by clear and contacted of any other person and the NGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT taken into account the factors see the state of the defendant as re taken into account the factors see the state of the defendant as re the state of the state of the state of the	States.  A INAPPLICABLE)  Ince of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions required, AND/OR  Evincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions are community.  OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  Set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the condition of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the information of the information of the information submitted that the submitted of the information of the inf

the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: 0/25/37 AUSA \_\_,ATTY \_\_, PTS \_\_\_

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL